

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/053,528 01/18/2002 Katsumichi Ueyanagi FUJI:204 1652

7590 03/09/2004 EXAMINER

ROSSI & ASSOCIATES OEN, WILLIAM L

ROSSI & ASSOCIATES P.O. Box 826 Ashburn, VA 20146-0826

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2855

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/053,528	UEYANAGI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L Oen	2855	·
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nation.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communicat  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>17 December 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fa  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/053,528

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchitani et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,417,312) in view of Liang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,871,233)

Tsuchitani et al explicitly teaches all of the essential features of the instant claimed semiconductor physical quantity sensor including means for converting digital

Application/Control Number: 10/053,528

Art Unit: 2855

data into analog data for digital trimming in response to a signal from a sensor circuit indicating that an analog quantity has been detected, wherein digital input/output electrodes (pads) that have undergone digital trimming in order to obtain a predetermined output; and wherein the electrodes (pads) that are pulled down to a ground inside a semiconductor chip and a ground electrode (pad) are electrically connected to a ground terminal outside said semiconductor chip.

It is noted that Tsuchitani et al does not explicitly teach that electrodes (pads) that have been pulled up to a power supply inside a semiconductor chip and a power supply pad are electrically connected to a power supply terminal outside said semiconductor chip. Liang et al, in the same field of endeavor as Tsuchitani et al (insofar as both are directed to semiconductor physical quantity sensor) teaches a MOSFET chip wherein electrodes (pads) that have been pulled up to a power supply inside a semiconductor chip and a power supply electrode (pad) are electrically connected to a power supply terminal outside said semiconductor chip. In view of this teaching by Liang et al, and because it would have been simple and expedient to have done so, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the semiconductor physical quantity sensor of Tsuchitani et al such that electrodes (pads) that have been pulled up to a power supply inside a semiconductor chip and a power supply electrode (pad) are electrically connected to a power supply terminal outside said semiconductor chip, if desired.

It is noted that Tsuchitani et al not only discloses a semiconductor physical quantity sensor, but also explicitly teaches a semiconductor acceleration sensor.

Art Unit: 2855

Because strain gauge sensors are notoriously well-known and widely used, it is considered to have been a mere matter of obvious design choice clearly within the purview of one skilled in the art to have formed the semiconductor acceleration sensor of Tsuchitani et al, as modified by Liang et al, as a strain gauge type sensor, if desired.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references cited on the attached PTO form 892 are cited for their respective disclosures of physical sensor

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L Oen whose telephone number is 571-272-2186. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 am - 9:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William L Oen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855

WL Oen 25 February 2004